



RESPONSE TO SHOCK LOADS OF ENGINEERED NANOPARTICLES (TiO₂, SiO₂ OR ZrO₂) ON ACTIVATED SLUDGE MICROBIAL COMMUNITIES

SVOBODOVÁ Lucie, LEDERER Tomáš, ŠUBRTOVÁ Petra

Institute for Nanomaterials, Advanced Technology and Innovation, Technical University of Liberec, Czech Republic, EU, <u>lucie.svobodova@tul.cz</u>

Abstract

The environmental impact of the use of engineered nanoparticles (NPs) is undeniable based on many studies. Considering that NPs end up in wastewater treatment systems, the potential impact of selected NPs (TiO₂, SiO₂ or ZrO₂) on the activated sludge, especially on *Microthrix parvicella* or *Nocardia* bacteria cells, was investigated using laboratory-scale batch reactors through short-term, 7-day exposure to 100, 200, 300 mg/L of NPs. Changes in the oxygen uptake rate (through respirometric measurements), viability of cell (fluorescence microscopy using a live/dead analysis), morphology of flocks or filaments (based on Gram staining and image analysis) and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) of the activated sludge compared with the controls were investigated. The shock loads of NPs caused no lethal effects on activated sludge. The presence of NPs in the activated sludge (depending on the concentration) supported the presence of *Nocardia* cells in the case of TiO₂ (oxygen consumption was maintained); however, SiO₂ and ZrO₂ NPs caused a restriction in the activity of the sludge (oxygen consumption and *Microthrix parvicella* cell presence); viability was not significantly affected for any of the NPs or concentrations.

Keywords: Nanoparticle toxicity, activated sludge, microbial respiration, Microthrix parvicella, Nocardia

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of products containing nanoparticles (NPs) is significantly growing (antiperspirants, body washes, clothing cleaners, cosmetics, and others). The possible danger of releasing these NPs into the surroundings must be explored to recognize their harmful ecological hazard [1]. The first contact of NPs with microorganisms takes place in wastewater treatment plants [2]. Wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) gather NPs from the inflow (production of domestic or industrial waste) [3]; and microorganisms in activated sludge are real sample cells not only model cells.

The risk of NPs is mainly due to their size, shape and reactivity [4]. A significant quantity of NPs can be reduced via aggregation [5], settling or biosorption [1]. Different forms of bacteria strains (in activated sludge) have differing responses to the NPs [6]. Floc-forming bacteria are more tolerant to NPs (e.g. Ag, TiO₂, SiO₂) than suspended bacteria in sludge, because of the extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) which have a protective function [7]. The presence of NPs in activated sludge influences the performance of the WWTPs as they cause changes in membrane permeability, they enter the bacterial cells and cause cellular enzyme deactivation, membrane permeability disruption, and accumulation of intracellular radicals, finally resulting in microbial growth inhibition, cell lysis and death [8].

 SiO_2 NPs are employed in different areas as biomedical applications, in food packaging, and abrasives [9]. TiO_2 NPs have industrial and medical applications, i.e. solar cell technologies, self-cleaning surfaces of facades, paints, sunscreens, food additives and in environmental remediation [10]. ZrO_2 NPs show photocatalytic activity [11]. The concentration of NPs in wastewater is increasing because the use of these NPs is still growing.



In our experiment we used a mixed culture of microorganisms of activated sludge from a real wastewater treatment plant in the Czech Republic. The aim of these experiments was to examine the effects of selected NPs (TiO₂, SiO₂ and ZrO₂) on sewage microorganisms; especially focused on *Microthrix parvicella* and *Nocardia* species. Our results provide basic data on the influence of NPs on the wastewater sludge based on respirometric assessment, morphology of flocs through image analysis, viability of cells and fluorescence in situ hybridization.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Nanoparticles (NPs)

NPs were provided by SkySpring Nanomaterials, Inc., Houston, USA. The characteristics of the NPs are as follows: Titanium dioxide NPs (TiO₂, anatase, 99.5 %, Product #: 7910DL), size 10 - 25 nm, white nanopowder, specific surface area 50 - 150 m²/g, morphology: flat texture of the surface with smooth edges; Silicon dioxide NPs (SiO₂, 99.5 %, product #: 6807NM), size 15 - 20 nm, porous white nanopowder, specific surface area 640 m²/g, morphology: porous and nearly spherical; Zirconium dioxide (ZrO₂, 99.9 %, Product #: 8512QI), size 20 - 30 nm, white nanopowder, specific surface area > 35 m²/g, morphology: spherical.

2.2. Experiment set-up

A model water medium (BSM culture medium) was prepared according to [12] (with Tween 80 and Peptone of 4 g/L as a carbon source and other elements as K_2 HPO₄, (NH₄)₂SO₄). The activated sludge (dry matter in concentration of 1 g/L) was obtained from a real municipal wastewater treatment plant (aeration tank) in the Czech Republic.

NPs (firstly 20 minutes sonicated in distilled water as a concentrated solution of 20 g/L) were dispersed into the model water medium containing sludge microorganisms to the final concentrations of 0.0, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 g/L. The experiment was run for 7 days; using 200 mL of working volume in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks, which were placed on a horizontal shaker to provide oxygen to the sludge and keep the NPs in suspension. A control sample was prepared in the same way but without the NPs. The temperature was within a range of 22 ± 2 °C.

2.3. Respirometry

A Micro-Oxymax respirometer (Columbus Instruments International, USA) was used according to EN ISO 9408, using inorganic NPs instead of the tested compounds. CO_2 release (produced by cells) and O_2 consumption were measured in hermetically sealed 500 mL flasks containing 200 mL of media, cultivated at 22 ± 2 °C under aerobic conditions and shaken continuously for seven days. Respiration provides first-hand information regarding the toxicity of a test substance to activated sludge respiration.

2.4. Microscopy and image analysis

Fluorescence microscopic assessment (LIVE/DEAD as well as FISH) was performed using a ZEISS Axio Imager.M2 microscope fitted with an AxioCamICc1 camera with a Colibri.2 fluorescent lamp. Image analysis was proceeding in Matlab (The MathWorks) as a ratio of green (live) and red (dead) object (bacteria cells) for LIVE/DEAD; and as a ratio of green (all cells) and red (*Microthrix parvicella* or *Nocardia* cells) for the FISH assessment.

2.5. LIVE/DEAD fluorescence analysis

The LIVE/DEAD BacLight[™] kit was used for evaluating cell membrane integrity.



2.6. Fluorescence in situ Hybridization (FISH)

A total of 5 µl of the activated sludge sample was applied to microscope slides and dried for 10 min at 42 °C. Permeabilization of samples: the dried samples are overlaid with 100 µL of lysozyme at a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL and incubated for 20 min at room temperature, then they are washed with lysozyme and the slide is immediately immersed in ice-cold PBS (Phosphate Buffered Saline) for 15 seconds. Dehydration: The dried slide is gradually immersed in 50 %, 80 % and 96 % EtOH for 3 minutes each and then allowed to dry in a thermostat at 43°C for 5 minutes. In-situ hybridization: *Microthrix parvicella*: MPA645 (5′- CCG GAC TCT AGT CAG AGC - 3′), MPA60 (5′- GGA TGG CCG CGT TCG ACT - 3′), MPA223 (5′- GCC GCG AGA CCC TCC TAG - 3′). *Nocardia*: Gor596 (*Gordonia family*, 5′- TGC AGA ATT TCA CAG ACG C - 3′), G.am205 (*Gordonia amarae*, 5′- CAT CCC TGA CCG CAA AAG C - 3′), Spin1449 (*Skermania piniformis*, 5′- CCG CTC CCT CCC ACA AAG - 3′). Subsequently, 7 µL of citifluor was added to each sample for fixation due to its long-term stability.

2.7. Gram staining and microscopy

Gram staining (generally procedure) represents gram positive and gram negative microorganisms. ZEISS Axio Imager.M2 microscope monitors the structure and morphology of the microorganisms in the activated sludge. Image analysis (sum of flocks and porosity) was proceeding in Matlab (The MathWorks).

3. RESULTS

The same reduction of viability was observed in all of the samples in a similarly way. NPs did not significantly affect the viability of the activated sludge cells regardless of the type of NPs or their concentration (of up to 22 % in comparison to control sample), **Figure 1** and **Figure 2**.



Figure 1 Documentation of cell viability of activated sludge with/without NPs (no NPs, TiO2 as 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 g/L, SiO2 as 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 g/L, ZrO2 as 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 g/L, respectively).



Figure 2 Result of the viability of cells and respirometric measurements of activated sludge with/without NPs



The highest increase in O_2 consumption (i.e. maximum respiration rate, **Figure 2**) was observed in the sample with no NPs (+200 % on day 7, compared to day 0). TiO₂ NPs showed a difference of +177, +131 and +175 % (0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 g/L respectively, on day 7), i.e. TiO₂ NPs showed no concentration effect, nor any significant effect compared to the control (sample with no NPs). SiO₂ NPs showed a difference of -25, -44 and -54 % (0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 g/L respectively, on day 7), i.e. SiO₂ NPs showed a slight influence of concentration and significant effect against the control sample (more than 100 %). ZrO₂ NPs showed a difference of +2, -54 and -37 % (0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 g/L, on day 7), i.e. ZrO₂ NPs showed no concentration effect, but had a significant effect compared to the control sample (over 100 %). Therefore, the sample with no NPs thrives on Tween 80 and peptone very well (respiration values were high). Samples affected by TiO₂ NPs have no effect on respiration; however, SiO₂ and ZrO₂ NPs affect the respiration rate significantly.

The presence of TiO₂ NPs in the activated sludge (depending on the concentration) supported the presence of *Nocardia* cells (**Figure 3**, **4**) from 20 % to 30 %, *Microthrix parvicella* cells were mostly unaffected (the difference is within the range of 10 %). On the other hand, ZrO₂ NPs caused a mild restriction of *Microthrix parvicella* (from 5 % up to 20 % depending on the concentration) and also *Nocardia* cells (from 6 % up to 13 % depending on the concentration). SiO₂ NPs has differing effects depending on the concentration; 0.1 g/L of SiO₂ NPs supported *Nocardia* cells (40 %) as well as *Microthrix parvicella* cells (10 %); 0.2 g/L of SiO₂ NPs caused a mild restriction of *Microthrix parvicella* (7 %) and supported *Nocardia* cells (15 %); 0.3 g/L of SiO₂ NPs caused a restriction of *Microthrix parvicella* cells (23 %) and a mild restriction of *Nocardia* cells (9 %).



Figure 3 Documentation of FISH analysis of activated sludge with/without NPs (no NPs, TiO₂ as 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 g/L, SiO₂ as 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 g/L, ZrO₂ as 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 g/L, respectively)



Figure 4 Results of the FISH analysis of activated sludge with/without NPs present

Flock porosity (**Figure 5**) is almost comparable to the control (with no NPs) for TiO₂ NPs within a range up to 20 % (day 7). The porosity of flocks affected by SiO₂ or ZrO_2 NPs was comparable at the beginning of the test (day 0 and day 2) but is lower in subsequent days (days 4 and 7); the flocks are less porous in the range of



40 % to 54 % for SiO₂ (compared to the control on day 7); flocks are less porous in the range of 38 % to 50 % for ZrO_2 (compared to the control on day 7).

The sum of flocks (**Figure 5**) for the TiO₂ NPs is almost comparable to the control sample (with no NPs), in the range of up to 11 % (on day 7). The sum of flocks with SiO₂ NPs is affected from the start of the test; the sum is higher for the increasing concentration of SiO₂ NPs. The sum of flocks is increased by 6 %, 92 % and 154 % (0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 g/L SiO₂ vs. the control on day 7). The sum of flocks with ZrO_2 NPs has been affected since the beginning of the test. The sum is higher than the control, however with a higher concentration is decreasing. The sum of flocks is higher by 88 %, 77 % and 53 % (0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 g/L ZrO_2 vs. the control on day 7).

The reduced respiratory activity (**Figure 2**) can be the result of low flock porosity (**Figure 5**) for SiO₂ and ZrO₂ NPs. Although the sum of flocks for ZrO_2 NPs is higher, it does not affect respiratory activity. None of the above facts affect the viability of the tested NPs i.e. the viability remains almost unchanged.



Figure 5 Result of the image analysis of the flock morphology (porosity and total sum) of activated sludge with/without NPs present

4. DISCUSSION

In [9] the inhibition of the oxygen uptake rate was 23 % (sizes of SiO₂ NPs of 12 nm); our results showed very strong inhibition of up to 97 %. In [6] the oxygen uptake rate of the activated sludge was reduced by 13 % (100 mg/L TiO₂ NPs); our results showed a slight reduction of 5 %. In [5] the smaller-sized NPs were more inhibitory than the large-sized NPs regardless of the concentrations; the degree of the inhibitory effect of TiO₂ NPs depended on the exposure time [5].

In [10] it was suggests that NPs may be adsorbed on and/or incorporated into the bacterial cell membrane without necessarily causing its disruption. However, in [13] the NPs destroyed the integrity of cytomembrane; this destruction could cause the variation of the morphology, which might alter the physiological functions of microorganisms in the activated sludge and affect the performance, as the slight difference caused by the NPs largely depended on exposure time rather than on NP type and NP concentration.

5. CONCLUSION

The shock loads of NPs caused no lethal effects on activated sludge (total viability was not significantly affected for any of the NPs or concentrations) but a very strong reduction of respiratory activity for SiO₂ and ZrO₂. The reduced respiratory activity can be also the result of low flock porosity for SiO₂ and ZrO₂ NPs. The presence of TiO₂ NPs in the activated sludge (depending on the concentration) supported the presence of *Nocardia* cells (up to 30 %) while oxygen consumption and *Microthrix parvicella* cells were maintained. ZrO₂ NPs caused a restriction in the activity of the sludge (oxygen consumption of up to 54 %); *Microthrix parvicella* cell presence (of up to 20 %) and *Nocardia* cell presence (of up to 13 %). SiO₂ NPs showed a significant restriction in oxygen consumption (more than 50 %) for all concentrations; while *Nocardia* cell presence is affected by the



concentration (0.1 g/L support of up to 40 %, 0.3 g/L restriction of up to 9 %); also the presence of *Microthrix parvicella* cells depends on the concentration (0.1 g/L support of up to 10 %, 0.3 g/L restriction of up to 23%).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The results of this project LO1201 were obtained through the financial support of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports in the framework of the targeted support of the "National Programme for Sustainability I" and the OPR&DI project Centre for Nanomaterials, Advanced Technologies and Innovation CZ.1.05/2.1.00/01.0005. This research was also supported by a state subsidy of the Czech Republic within the project "Development and usage of artificial intelligence methods for optimization of biotechnological processes wastewater treatment plant based on image analysis of nano-structures in activated sludge and biofilm biocenoses -TA04021396". The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance provided by the Research Infrastructures NanoEnviCz (Project No. LM2015073) supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic and the project Pro-NanoEnviCz (Reg. No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_013/0001821) supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic and the European Union - European Structural and Investments Funds in the framework of Operational Programme Research Development and Education.

REFERENCES

- [1] PARK H.-J., KIM H.Y., CHA S., AHN C.H., ROH J., PARK S, et al. Removal characteristics of engineered nanoparticles by activated sludge. Chemosphere 2013;92:524-8. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.03.020.
- [2] EDUOK S., MARTIN B., VILLA R., NOCKER A., JEFFERSON B., COULON F.. Evaluation of engineered nanoparticle toxic effect on wastewater microorganisms: Curr. status and chall. Ecotox. Env. Saf 2013;95:1-9.
- [3] NOWACK B., BUCHELI T.D. Occurrence, behavior and effects of nanoparticles in the environment. Environ Pollut 2007;150:5-22. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.006.
- [4] MAHMOUDI M., MENG J., XUE X., LIANG X.J., RAHMAN M., PFEIFFER C, et al. Interaction of stable colloidal nanoparticles with cellular membranes. Biotechnol Adv 2014;32:679-92. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.11.012.
- [5] ZHOU X., HUANG B., ZHOU T., LIU Y., SHI H. Aggregation behavior of engineered nanoparticles and their impact on activated sludge in wastewater treatment. Chemosphere 2015;119:568-76.
- [6] ZHANG J, DONG Q, LIU Y., ZHOU X., SHI H. Response to shock load of engineered nanoparticles in an activated sludge treatment system: Insight into microbial community succession. Chemosphere 2016;144:1837-44.
- [7] SHENG Z., VAN NOSTRAND J.D., ZHOU J., LIU Y. The effects of silver nanoparticles on intact wastewater biofilms. Front Microbiol 2015;6. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00680.
- [8] YANG X., CUI F., GUO X., LI D. Effects of nanosized titanium dioxide on the physicochemical stability of activated sludge flocs using the thermodynamic approach and Kelvin probe force microscopy. Water Res 2013;47:3947-58.
- [9] SIBAG M., CHOI B.-G., SUH C., LEE K.H., LEE J.W., MAENG S.K., et al. Inhibition of total oxygen uptake by silica nanoparticles in activated sludge. J Hazard Mater 2015;283:841-6. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.10.032.
- [10] FARKAS J., PETER H., CIESIELSKI T.M., THOMAS K.V., SOMMARUGA R., SALVENMOSER W., et al. Impact of TiO2 nanoparticles on freshwater bacteria from three Swedish lakes. Sci Total Environ 2015;535:85-93.
- [11] SREETHAWONG T., NGAMSINLAPASATHIAN S., YOSHIKAWA S.. Synthesis of crystalline mesoporousassembled ZrO2 nanoparticles via a facile surfactant-aided sol-gel process and their photocatalytic dye degradation activity. Chem Eng J 2013;July 2013:256-62.
- [12] SLIJKHUIS H.. Microthrix parvicella, a filamentous bacterium isolated from activated sludge: cultivation in a chemically defined medium. Appl Environ Microbiol 1983;46:832-839.
- [13] LI Z., WANG X., MA B., WANG S., ZHENG D., SHE Z., et al. Long-term impacts of titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO2 NPs) on performance and microbial community of activated sludge. Bioresour Technol 2017;238:361-8.