

## COMPARISON OF LIQUIDUS AND SOLIDUS TEMPERATURES OF STEEL CAST INTO INGOTS IDENTIFIED BY DIFFERENT THERMO-ANALYTICAL METHODS

STROUHALOVÁ Michaela<sup>1</sup>, GRYC Karel<sup>1</sup>, SMETANA Bedřich<sup>2</sup>, MICHÁLEK Karel<sup>1</sup>,  
SUŠOVSKÝ Michal<sup>3</sup>, SVIŽELOVÁ Jana<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>VSB - Technical University of Ostrava, Ostrava, Faculty of Metallurgy and Materials Engineering, Department of Metallurgy and Foundry, and Regional Materials Science and Technology Centre Czech Republic, EU, [michaela.strouhalova@vsb.cz](mailto:michaela.strouhalova@vsb.cz)

<sup>2</sup>VSB - Technical University of Ostrava, Ostrava, Faculty of Metallurgy and Materials Engineering, Department of Physical Chemistry and Theory of Technological Processes, and Regional Materials Science and Technology Centre Czech Republic, EU, [bedrich.smetana@vsb.cz](mailto:bedrich.smetana@vsb.cz)

<sup>3</sup>VÍTKOVICE HEAVY MACHINERY, a.s., Ostrava - Vítkovice, Czech Republic, EU, [susovsky@vitkovice.cz](mailto:susovsky@vitkovice.cz)

### Abstract

In the framework of optimising, correct setting of the casting process, knowledge of solidus temperature ( $T_S$ ) and especially liquidus temperature ( $T_L$ ) of produced steel grade is necessary. There are options to determine these temperatures: the use of empirical equations or calculations by specialised programs (thermodynamic databases). These calculations are based on the data of steel chemical composition and final temperature of phase transformation is then its reflection.  $T_S$  and  $T_L$  values then may not completely correspond to reality as well. It is very appropriate to employ a combination of different methods of thermal analysis and theoretical prediction for confrontation of experimental and calculated  $T_L$  and  $T_S$ . Three modern devices for high temperature thermal analysis and two specialised programs of theoretical prediction are available at the Faculty of Metallurgy and Materials Engineering. Direct thermal analysis method (dirTA) and parallel currently mass-enhanced method of thermal analysis, differential thermal analysis (DTA), were used for determination of  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  of studied real steel grades. Simultaneous application of both methods allows to reduce significantly disadvantages of each method and recommend proper  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  into the casting process and real conditions of industrial partner. Paper is focused on the discussion of  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  of steels cast into ingots (9 melts; 7 steel grades) analysed in the frame of a project TA0410035. Submitted evaluation refers an importance of the parallel utilization of different methods, their accuracy and reproducibility and also the divergences between  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  experimentally determined, empirically calculated and predicted by thermodynamic SWs' calculations.

**Keywords:** Steel, solidus temperature, liquidus temperature, thermal analysis, thermodynamic calculations

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Constantly increasing and strict requirements on quality of ingots and cast steel require a comprehensive approach to solve whole process of steelmaking. To ensure the cleanliness and micro-purity of steel [1, 2], the correct adjustment of the slag regime [3 - 5] during the secondary steel refining process plays a significant role. The assessment of strength characteristics is important for assuring the high quality of steel [6, 7]. A numerical simulation also has considerable importance in steelmaking process. The implementation of simulation results [8 - 11] can significantly affects the quality of produced steel. Thermodynamic properties of materials, especially for casting and solidification of the steel, the solidus ( $T_S$ ) and liquidus ( $T_L$ ) temperature are among the most crucial parameters [11, 12]. Precise knowledge of  $T_L$  is particularly important in relation to the superheat setting of steel before its casting.  $T_S$  is related with the solidification process and range of two-phase region between  $T_L$  and  $T_S$ , which is affected by segregation phenomena [13 - 17]. Knowledge of

these critical temperatures is necessary not only for the correct setting of the technology of steel casting and proper solidification of steel, but also for precise setting of simulation conditions of steel solidification.

This paper follows the previous research in the field of thermal analysis in the frame of the project TA0410035. Now, it summarises the results obtained for seven steel grades cast into ingots. The significance of parallel utilization of both presented methods of thermal analysis for an industrial practice of steel casting is evident.

## 2. METHODS USED FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF SOLIDUS AND LIQUIDUS TEMPERATURES

Currently, it is possible to utilise a several dozens of thermo-analytical methods. Three of them are the most popular. In the field of thermal analysis, in the range of one half to three quarters of all professional works, these methods are employed: Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA), Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) and Thermogravimetry (TG) [18-25]. Simultaneous combinations as TG / DTA and TG / DSC are often applied. In the past, Direct Thermal Analysis (direct measurement of the temperature change of the studied sample esp. under the linear cooling conditions) was also widely used [26]. This method is still applicable for measuring  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  of metallic materials.

Generally, thermal analysis (TA) [27 - 30] allows to monitor the changes in the study material by measuring selected physical properties in dependence on time or temperature (phase transformations, heat capacity etc.). TA methods are predominantly dynamic processes and allow to obtain information about the status change of the sample. These processes require a non-isothermal temperature regime (usually linear heating or cooling of the sample). Changes of the studied material either directly by measuring the selected physical properties or indirectly by measuring of the properties at the surrounding of the sample are determined.

A combination of two thermo-analytical methods (TA methods) - *Direct Thermal Analysis (DirTA)* and *Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA)* on two different professional systems for different sample mass (approx. 22 g, resp. 120-210 mg) for study of  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  temperatures of investigated steels were used. Results from both TA methods ( $T_L$  and  $T_S$  temperatures) and the empirical calculations and predictions by modern commercially SWs for phase transformation temperatures determining are also compared. A combination of all approaches ensures the achievement of the maximum possible correctness of the results.

$T_L$  and  $T_S$  temperatures under the linear heating / cooling conditions, and also under cyclic experiments (2 heating and cooling cycles, under the same conditions) by DirTA were acquired. Only under the linear heating conditions,  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  temperatures by DTA were determined. Experimental results ( $T_L$  and  $T_S$  from DirTA and DTA) with empirically calculated  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  temperatures (by empirical equations of industrial partner VÍTKOVICE HEAVY MACHINERY a.s. (VHM)) were compared.  $T_S$  calculation in VHM is considered to be unreliable and in principle not applied. Experimental values were also predicted by modern commercial SWs IDS (Solidification Analysis Package), Thermo-Calc (database TCFE7) by own calculations (with regard to chemical composition available).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Completely 9 melts of 7 steel grades are discussed. For two steel grades, the samples of two melts with a different chemical composition, but within the tolerance of the individual steel grade specifications were analysed.  $T_S$  and  $T_L$  temperatures were experimentally determined for each steel grade by both methods of thermal analysis (DirTA and DTA). The aim of the paper is not a detail analysis of the results for individual steel grade, but to achieve a comprehensive view on the results obtained not only by DirTA and DTA thermo-analytical methods, but also based on their confrontation with  $T_L$ , resp.  $T_S$ , predicted by empirical equations used in conditions of industrial partner (VHM) and with two thermodynamic professional SWs (IDS, Thermo-Calc). Therefore,  $T_S$  temperature by DTA under the conditions of linear cooling weren't identified. However, due to the higher sensitivity of the sensor,  $T_S$  temperature for all investigated steels by DTA, were also determined.

### 3.1. The analysis of the liquidus temperature

Experimentally and empirically determined  $T_L$  for analysed steel grades are summarized in **Table 1**. Experimentally captured  $T_L$  temperatures in the average form and as corrected values of the experimental conditions obtained from two correctly performed analyses are presented. Standard deviations (SD) for each average  $T_L$  temperature were also calculated to verify the reproducibility of the results.

**Table 1** Liquidus temperatures obtained by different methods; (°C)

| Steel grade | DTA         |    | dirTA       |     |             |    | VHM  | IDS  | T-Calc      |
|-------------|-------------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|----|------|------|-------------|
|             | Heating     | SD | Heating     | SD  | Cooling     | SD |      |      |             |
| A           | 1440        | 3  | ---         | --- | <b>1441</b> | 3  | 1469 | 1451 | 1453        |
|             | 1444        | 0  | <b>1447</b> | 0   | 1433        | 5  | 1463 | 1448 | 1451        |
| B           | 1465        | 0  | <b>1479</b> | 0   | 1468        | 1  | 1493 | 1474 | 1482        |
|             | 1474        | 1  | <b>1480</b> | 0   | 1476        | 0  | 1491 | 1475 | <b>1480</b> |
| C           | 1464        | 2  | <b>1473</b> | 1   | 1462        | 2  | 1485 | 1476 | 1477        |
| D           | <b>1486</b> | 2  | <b>1486</b> | 1   | 1484        | 1  | 1496 | 1487 | 1488        |
| E           | 1470        | 1  | <b>1475</b> | 1   | 1471        | 1  | 1488 | 1470 | <b>1475</b> |
| F           | 1483        | 0  | <b>1487</b> | 0   | 1482        | 0  | 1496 | 1486 | <b>1487</b> |
| G           | 1498        | 0  | <b>1501</b> | 1   | 1495        | 1  | 1506 | 1500 | 1504        |

For the first investigated steel grade the results under the conditions of linear heating couldn't be identified by dirTA. High degree of reproducibility of the results achieved by both methods of TA due to very low values of standard deviations (SD) under the linear heating conditions is demonstrated. Under the linear heating conditions standard deviations are calculated in the range from 0 to 2 °C. The highest value of the standard deviation (3 °C) for the first steel grade was registered. The maximum standard deviation under the linear cooling conditions for the second steel grade is achieved. To obtain one final  $T_L$  temperature which should be recommended as optimal  $T_L$  temperature for the adjustment of steel casting technology the following approach has been chosen:

- 1) Experimental results with a high degree of reproducibility are more accurate than empirically calculated.
- 2) To avoid a threat (based on recommended temperatures by TA) of steel casting process, the highest  $T_L$  temperature from the three  $T_L$  experimentally determined (TA) temperatures (2x linear heating, 1x linear cooling) is recommended for each melt (grey mark and bolt font in **Table 1**).

Values got by dirTA method are selected for a final recommendation of  $T_L$  temperature from linear heating conditions for almost of all studied steel grades (also evident in **Table 1**). Values obtained under the linear cooling condition only for the first steel grade are recommended.  $T_L$  temperatures acquired under the conditions of linear heating were difficult to measure. The final  $T_L$  temperatures recommended for B, E, F steel grades also correspond to Thermo-Calc. For steel grade D the same results were achieved by DTA and DirTA.  $T_L$  divergences obtained by different methods of determination against recommended final  $T_L$  are shown in **Table 2**.

Within the various applications of TA methods for studied steel grades, the divergences of determined  $T_L$  temperature exceed -5 °C or even -10 °C (**Table 2**). Considering the above presented methodology of recommendation of final  $T_L$  temperature, these divergences are negative. Currently in real conditions (VHM), the empirically calculated  $T_L$  for most studied steel grades is higher by tens of degrees Celsius and ranges from 5 to 28 °C.  $T_L$  values predicted by IDS are in some cases higher (up to 10 °C), in other are lower (max.

to -5 °C). In three cases, the identical  $T_L$  temperatures as the recommended  $T_L$  by Thermo-Calc were predicted. For other steel grades, a positive divergence (2 - 4 °C) was found. The first steel grade has a divergence 12 °C.

**Table 2** Divergences from the final recommended  $T_L$  obtained by different methods; (°C)

| Steel grade | DTA     |    | dirTA   |         | VHM | IDS | T-Calc |
|-------------|---------|----|---------|---------|-----|-----|--------|
|             | Heating | SD | Heating | Cooling |     |     |        |
| A           | -1      | 10 | ---     | 0       | 28  | 10  | 12     |
|             | -3      | 0  | 0       | -14     | 16  | 1   | 4      |
| B           | -14     | 8  | 0       | -11     | 14  | -5  | 3      |
|             | -6      | 2  | 0       | -4      | 11  | -5  | 0      |
| C           | -9      | 1  | 0       | -11     | 12  | 3   | 4      |
| D           | 0       | 2  | 0       | -2      | 10  | 1   | 2      |
| E           | -5      | 1  | 0       | -4      | 13  | -5  | 0      |
| F           | -4      | 1  | 0       | -5      | 9   | -1  | 0      |
| G           | -3      | 2  | 0       | -6      | 5   | -1  | 3      |

### 3.2. The analysis of the solidus temperature

Solidus temperature appears to be less significant than  $T_L$  in the operational conditions of steelmaking. However, its importance can't be ignored, especially in the case of casting of heavy ingots. The main reason is that in the two-phase region between  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  temperatures, the conditions supporting a number of processes with a negative impact on the quality of cast ingot exist. Correct setting of  $T_S$  and  $T_L$  temperatures can significantly affect the results of numerical simulations whose are necessary to recommended interventions to optimise the casting process.  $T_S$  temperatures are summarized in **Table 3**.

**Table 3** Solidus temperatures obtained by different methods; (°C)

| Steel grade | DTA     |    | dirTA   |     |         |     | VHM  | IDS  | T-Calc |
|-------------|---------|----|---------|-----|---------|-----|------|------|--------|
|             | Heating | SD | Heating | SD  | Cooling | SD  |      |      |        |
| A           | 1280    | 10 | ---     | --- | ---     | --- | 1125 | 1319 | 1318   |
|             | 1307    | 0  | 1308    | 12  | 1412    | 5   | 1097 | 1302 | 1309   |
| B           | 1365    | 8  | 1385    | 4   | 1385    | 8   | 1354 | 1382 | 1404   |
|             | 1397    | 2  | 1405    | 6   | 1410    | 13  | 1354 | 1386 | 1395   |
| C           | 1362    | 1  | 1393    | 3   | 1417    | 18  | 1282 | 1381 | 1381   |
| D           | 1432    | 2  | 1436    | 4   | 1437    | 6   | 1358 | 1417 | ---    |
| E           | 1374    | 1  | 1389    | 5   | 1394    | 7   | 1334 | 1361 | 1311   |
| F           | 1421    | 1  | 1412    | 1   | 1435    | 5   | 1316 | 1423 | 1413   |
| G           | 1445    | 2  | 1447    | 2   | 1437    | 12  | 1390 | 1438 | 1451   |

It wasn't possible to determine  $T_S$  temperature for the first steel grade by DirTA. It wasn't possible to calculate  $T_S$  temperature for steel grade D by Thermo-Calc. Standard deviations of  $T_S$  temperature identification by TA methods are already higher, although for most steel grades analysed under the conditions of linear heating by DTA, standard deviations only from 0 to 2 °C have been observed. Due to the generally problematical determination of  $T_S$  temperature, standard deviations can be considered as satisfactory. Higher differences in

standard deviations can be related with slightly different character of the solidification process of individual steel samples.

As the final recommended  $T_s$  (from TA analysis) for practical use in conditions of industrial partner, the lowest values (grey mark and bold font in **Table 3**) in accordance with the above approach were selected. Most of them under the linear heating conditions by DTA have been achieved. Exceptions are steel grades F and G for whose  $T_s$  temperatures obtained by DirTA method as final were recommended. The values of D and F steel grades are marked in italic form in **Table 3** due to both  $T_L$  and  $T_s$  temperatures for the same thermal analysis method and same conditions (linear heating) were selected as industrially applicable. More complicated situation of final  $T_s$  determination is evidently based on the fact that the empirical equations (VHM) nor SWs predictions (IDS, Thermo-Calc) didn't fit the final recommended  $T_s$  temperatures. Divergences from finally recommended  $T_s$  temperatures are shown in **Table 4**.

**Table 4** Divergences from the final recommended  $T_s$  obtained by different methods; (°C)

| Steel grade | DTA      | dirTA    |          | VHM  | IDS | T-Calc |
|-------------|----------|----------|----------|------|-----|--------|
|             | Heating  | Heating  | Cooling  |      |     |        |
| A           | <b>0</b> | ---      | ---      | -155 | 39  | 38     |
|             | <b>0</b> | 1        | 105      | -210 | -5  | 2      |
| B           | <b>0</b> | 20       | 20       | -11  | 17  | 39     |
|             | <b>0</b> | 8        | 13       | -43  | -11 | -2     |
| C           | <b>0</b> | 31       | 55       | -80  | 19  | 19     |
| D           | <i>0</i> | 4        | 5        | -74  | -15 | ---    |
| E           | <b>0</b> | 15       | 20       | -40  | -13 | -63    |
| F           | 9        | <b>0</b> | 23       | -96  | 11  | 1      |
| G           | 8        | 10       | <b>0</b> | -47  | 1   | 14     |

Furthermore, it is also possible to observe that divergences of  $T_s$  temperature obtained by different methods from the final recommended values are more pronounced than in the case of  $T_L$  identification (usually in the order of tens of degrees of Celsius for individual melts). However, there are steel grades where these differences are less than 10 °C and where the  $T_s$  obtained by different methods from the final recommended value differ by the hundreds of degrees of Celsius. Due to the procedure of recommendation of final  $T_s$  temperatures - recommended  $T_s$  values are always higher than other thermal analysis methods' results.

Utilisation of the empirical equations applied in conditions of industrial partner always lead to lower  $T_s$  values. With the use of thermodynamic SW, some calculated values were higher, other lower than the final recommended  $T_s$  obtained based on thermal analysis methods.

### 3.3. Analysis of two-phase region between $T_L$ and $T_s$

To illustrate an importance of determination of  $T_s$  was further proceeded to compare the temperature interval between the two-phase region between  $T_L$  and  $T_s$  (**Table 5**).

Values of the temperature interval from  $T_L$  to  $T_s$  recommended by the thermal analysis in column TA in **Table 5** can be registered. Temperature intervals achieved by each other type of prediction (VHM, IDS, Thermo-Calc) in the next three columns are demonstrated. The last three columns show values of the differences between the values of Thermal Analysis (TA) and values of the intervals obtained by the theoretical calculations / predictions.

Conclusively, differences in the prediction of the two-phase temperature interval for individual steel grades within the individual prediction method exist. The range of the temperature interval of two-phase region identified by the TA methods, as well as SW predicted values, is in the order of tens or hundreds of degrees of Celsius. The temperature intervals of two-phase region obtained by empirical prediction (VHM) for the individual steel grades are always in the order of hundreds of degrees of Celsius.

**Table 5** Range of two-phase temperature intervals for individual steel grades by the use of different methods of their identification; (°C)

| Steel grade | TA  | VHM | IDS | T-Calc | Divergences from TA values |     |        |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|--------|----------------------------|-----|--------|
|             |     |     |     |        | VHM                        | IDS | T-Calc |
| A           | 161 | 344 | 132 | 135    | 183                        | -29 | -26    |
|             | 140 | 366 | 146 | 142    | 226                        | 6   | 2      |
| B           | 114 | 139 | 92  | 78     | 25                         | -22 | -36    |
|             | 83  | 137 | 89  | 85     | 54                         | 6   | 2      |
| C           | 111 | 203 | 95  | 96     | 92                         | -16 | -15    |
| D           | 54  | 138 | 70  | ---    | 84                         | 16  | ---    |
| E           | 101 | 154 | 109 | 164    | 53                         | 8   | 63     |
| F           | 75  | 180 | 63  | 74     | 105                        | -12 | -1     |
| G           | 64  | 116 | 62  | 53     | 52                         | -2  | -11    |

When comparing the temperature intervals of the range of the two-phase region, determined by the empirically calculations, it is possible to find close temperature intervals and conversely wider temperature intervals than were experimentally determined by TA methods (**Table 5**). These differences are again the most significant in the case of empirical calculations (VHM), where the temperature interval between  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  is always estimated to be wider than measured one. Range of two-phase regions by both SWs predicted were in some cases close in other ones they were wider than determined by TA methods.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The paper presented results of determination of liquidus and solidus temperatures for various steel grades cast into ingots by different methods. Two methods of thermal analysis were employed. On Netzsch STA 449 F3 Jupiter experimental system, method of direct thermal analysis (dirTA) was applied. Steel samples by Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA) were analysed by Setaram SETSYS 18™ experimental system. Results of thermal analysis methods with the predictions of the solidus and liquidus temperatures calculated by empirical equations supplied by industrial partner (VMH) and with the results of calculations with sophisticated programs Thermo-Calc IDS were compared.

Acquired knowledge can be summarised as follows:

- 1) Because the real analysis performed using standardized methods on real samples, not by means of empirical calculations,  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  temperatures obtained by thermal analysis methods were selected for further evaluation. In particular,  $T_L$  temperatures show a high degree of reproducibility of results.
- 2) It is obvious, that the parallel application of different methods of thermal analysis and different mass of the steel samples makes it possible to compare the results of these analyses and then recommend the more critical and proper  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  values.
- 3) Divergences between  $T_L$  temperatures for individual steel grades across the different used methods of prediction as were compared were generally less problematic than  $T_S$  determination. However, these divergences can't be generally considered insignificant even in the case of  $T_L$ .



- 4) Temperature ranges from  $T_L$  to  $T_S$  in most cases show significant differences depending on the choice of the used method of prediction. Here, the impact of choosing the final recommended  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  is the most significant.

These findings indicate that the problematics of verification of  $T_L$  and  $T_S$  temperatures requires a comprehensive approach using multiple methods of solution. More significant differences against calculations can be expected especially for special steels grades with a high content of carbon or alloying elements. Finally, the operational experiments in real plant conditions should be proceed to adjustment of the casting technology to gain savings not only in the field of superheat temperature of steel before casting.

Furthermore, it is appropriate to implement measured results into numerical simulations focused on the optimization of steel casting technology and solidification of the steel. It should lead to more accurate results corresponding to real conditions.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

***The work was carried out in the frame of project No. TA04010035 "The research and development of an advanced production technology of the production and processing of tool steels for forgings for special components with higher added value" solved with the financial support of TA CR.***

***This paper was created in the frame of the project No. LO1203 "Regional Materials Science and Technology Centre - Feasibility Program" funded by Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic.***

***This work was carried out in the support of the project of "Student Grant Competition" numbers SP2017/57 and SP2017/58.***

## REFERENCES

- [1] KEPKA, M. *Ovlivňování čistoty oceli*. Praha: Academia, 1986. 154 p.
- [2] SCHWEINICHEN, P., CHEN, Z., SENK, D., LOB, A. Effect of different casting parameters on the cleanliness of high manganese steel ingots compared to high carbon steel. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A*, 2013, vol. 44, no. 12, pp. 5416-5423.
- [3] GRYC, K., STRÁNSKÝ, K., MICHÁLEK, K., WINKLER, Z., MORÁVKA, J., TKADLEČKOVÁ, M., SOCHA, L., BAŽAN, J., DOBROVSKÁ, J., ZLÁ, S. A Study of the High-Temperature Interaction between Synthetic Slags and Steel. *Materiali In Tehnologije*, 2012, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 403-406.
- [4] SOCHA, L., BAŽAN, J., GRYC, K., STYRNAL, P., PILKA, V., PIEGZA, Z. Assessment of Influence of Briquetted Fluxing Agents on Refining Slags at Steel Treatment by Secondary Metallurgy. *In METAL 2011: 20th Anniversary International Conference on Metallurgy and Materials*. Ostrava: TANGER, 2011, pp. 163-169.
- [5] SMETANA, B., ŽALUDOVÁ, M., ZLÁ, S., MATĚJKA, V., DOBROVSKÁ, J., GRYC, K., TKADLEČKOVÁ, M., SIKORA, V., KOZELSKÝ, P., CAGALA, M. Latent heats of phase transitions of Fe-C based metallic systems in high temperature region. *In METAL 2011: 20th Anniversary International Conference on Metallurgy and Materials*. Ostrava: TANGER, 2011, pp. 486-491.
- [6] VLČKOVÁ, I., JONŠTA, P., JONŠTA, Z., VÁŇOVÁ, P., KULOVÁ, T. Corrosion Fatigue of Austenitic Stainless Steels for Nuclear Power Engineering. *Metals 2016*, 2016, vol. 6, no. 12, p. 8.
- [7] HLAVÁČ, L. M., KOCICH, R., GEMBALOVÁ, L., JONŠTA, P. AWJ cutting of copper processed by ECAP. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2016, vol. 86, no. 1-4, pp. 885-894.
- [8] TKADLEČKOVÁ, M., MICHÁLEK, K., KLUS, P., GRYC, K., SIKORA, V., KOVÁČ, M. Testing of numerical model setting for simulation of steel ingot casting and solidification. *In METAL 2011: 20th Anniversary International Conference on Metallurgy and Materials*. Ostrava: TANGER, 2011, pp. 61-67.
- [9] LI, J., WU, M., ANDREAS, L., ABDELLAH, K. Simulation of macrosegregation in a 2.45-ton steel ingot using a three-phase mixed columnar-equiaxed model. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 2014, vol. 72, pp. 668-679.

- [10] HONGWEI, L., PAIXIAN, F., XIUHONG, K., XIAOPING, M. Formation mechanism of shrinkage and large inclusions of a 70 t 12Cr2Mo1 heavy steel ingot. *Research & Development*, 2014, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 46-51.
- [11] KALUP, A., SMETANA, B., KAWULOKOVÁ, M., ZLÁ, S., FRANCOVÁ, H., DOSTÁL, P., WALOSZKOVÁ, K., WALOSZKOVÁ, L., DOBROVSKÁ, J. Liquidus and solidus temperatures and latent heats of melting of steels. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 2017, vol. 127, no. 1, pp. 123-128.
- [12] Wang, X., Wang, X., Wang, B., Wang, B., Liu, Q. Differential Calculation Model for Liquidus Temperature of Steel. *Steel Research International*, 2011, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 164-168.
- [13] ZHANG, Q., WANG, X. Numerical simulation of influence of casting speed variation on surface fluctuation of molten steel in mold. *Journal of Iron and Steel Research, International*, 2010, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 15-19.
- [14] GHOSH, A. Segregation in cast products. *Sādhanā*, 2001, vol. 26, pp. 5-24.
- [15] SANG, BG., KANG, XH., LI, DZ. A novel technique for reducing macrosegregation in heavy steel ingots. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 2010, vol. 210, no. 4, pp. 703-711.
- [16] PICKERING, E. J. Macrosegregation in Steel Ingots: The applicability of modelling and characterisation techniques. *ISIJ International*, 2013, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 935-949.
- [17] KOLEŽNIK, M., NAGODE, A., KLANČNIK, G., MEDVED, J., JANET, B., BIZJAK, M., KOSEC, L. Effects of solidification parameters on the micro- and macrostructure of the X19CrMoVNbN11-1 steel. *Materiali In Tehnologije*, 2013, vol. 47, no. 6, p. 739-744.
- [18] WON, Y., THOMAS, B. G. Simple model of microsegregation during solidification of steels. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A*, 2001, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 1755-1767.
- [19] KRIELAART, G. P., BRAKMAN, C. M., ZWAAG, VAN DER S. Analysis of phase transformation in Fe-C alloys using differential scanning calorimetry. *Journal of Materials Science*, 1996, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 1501-1508.
- [20] STEFANESCU, D. M. Thermal analysis theory and applications in metalcasting. *International Journal of Metalcasting*, 2015, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 7-22.
- [21] BANDA, W., GEORGALLI, G. A., LANG, C., EKSTEEN, J. J. Liquidus Temperature Determination of the Fe-Co-Cu System in the Fe-rich Corner by Thermal Analysis. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2008, vol. 461, no. 1/2, pp. 178-182.
- [22] RAJU, S., GANESH, B. J., RAI, A. K., RAI, B. Measurement of transformation temperatures and specific heat capacity of tungsten added reduced activation ferritic-martensitic steel. *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 2009, vol. 389, no. 3, pp. 385-393.
- [23] BOETTINGER, W. J., KATTNER, U. R. On Differential Thermal Analyzer Curves for the Melting and Freezing of Alloys. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A*, 2002, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 1779-1794.
- [24] GOJIĆ, M., SUĆESKA, M., RAJIĆ, M. Thermal analysis of low alloy Cr-Mo steel. *Journal of Thermal analysis and Calorimetry*, 2004, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 947-956.
- [25] SMETANA, B., ZLÁ, S., ŽALUDOVÁ, M., DOBROVSKÁ, J., KOZELSKÝ, P. Application of High Temperature DTA to Micro-Alloyed Steels, *Metalurgija*, 2012, vol. 51, no. 1, p. 121-124.
- [26] GRUNBAUM, G. *A Guide to the Solidification of Steels*. Stockholm: Jenrkontoret, 1977. 162 p.
- [27] BROWN, M. E. *Handbook of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry. Volume 1*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1998. 722 p.
- [28] GALLAGHER, P. K. *Handbook of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry: Principles and Practice. Volume 1. First edition*, 1998. Second impression 2003. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2003. 691 p.
- [29] BOETTINGER, W. J., KATTNER, U. R., MOON, K., PEREPEZKO, J. H. *DTA and Heat-flux DSC Measurements of Alloy Melting and Freezing. Special Publication*. Washington: National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2006. 90 p.
- [30] HATAKEYAMA, T., ZHENHAI, L. *Handbook of thermal analysis*. U.K.: Wiley, 1998. 452 p.